Course Ratings - Horto Doroham											
	Q	3	@	Sti-ulation	0	0					
ò'		Preparation	Instruction	The second secon		to Rating -					
	CS 120	3.70	3.48	3.33	3.51	3,53					
Tall, 1979	cs 313	. 3.91	3.72	3.56	3.92	3.86					
	es 126 FIERAND	3.99	3.89	3.89	3.94	3,94					
Spang 1980	CS (10 Nanamore	3.93	3.82	3.75	3.90	3.93					
12	Cs 292	3.64	3.43	3.35	3,47	3.57					
	CS 495	3.96	3.72	3.74	3.84	3,89					
Fall 1930	C\$ 120	3.83	3.74	3,60	358	3,60					
	cs 320	3.87	3.85	3.79	3.89	3.94					
-		T SPECIAL									
	334	3.83	3.71	3.63	3.76	3.78					
Spring, 198	si cs 236	3.62	3.19	321	363	3.54					
	UM 310	3.83	3.70	3.65	3.73	3,79					
Fall, 19	Cs 283	3.95	380	3,75	3.89	3.94					
	cs 383	3.96	3.83	3.70	3.87	3.86					
	cs 120ss	3.90	3.25	3.48	3.96	3.93					

TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION

	Cot	urse: 15u	olver I to Systems	Class Standing:	Fr	Soph	Jr	Sr
	Ins	tructor:	Fall, 1980					
	I.	Teacher	Evaluation. Ple	ease circle the appro	priate	respon	ise.	
A B C D	A- B- C- D-	D+_ 3.21	conducting clas	An instructor's effection, which is indicated and his knowledge of him your estimate of him.	ted by	his ef	ficie	ncy in
A B C D	A- B- C- D-			How effective was your d developing skills, t ich the course is into				nting, erstandin
A B C D	A- B- C- D-		Stimulation of the extent to w How does your t Comment:	Interest. One measur which he can generate teacher rate?	re of inter	a teach est in	er's the m	ability i aterial.
A B C D	A- B- C- D-	-	co show the conc	dents. How does your ern for the student in their progress, answ individual help, etc	TO CENTRAL	TOUR COL		a make the man
A B C D	A- B- C- D-	B+ . 5. C+ D+ F 3.94	overall effecti	Taking into account good teaching, rate you veness as a teacher.	the proper	many qu ofessor	alitic on h	es that

 Please comment on specific aspects of your instructor's teaching which in your opinion particularly deserve praise or criticism, such as assignments, testing, grading, methods of instruction, personal habits, etc.